

Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Tuesday February 22, 2022
10:00am - 12:00pm
WebEx Meeting

Committee Members Present:

Cung Nguyen, LA County Flood Control District (Agency)
*Art Castro, LA City Department of Water and Power (Agency)
*Michael Scaduto, LA City Sanitation and Environment (Agency)
*Darryl Ford, Los Angeles City Recreation & Parks (Agency)
Rita Kampalath, LA County Chief Sustainability Office (Community), Vice Chair
*Gloria Medina, The Solutions Project/SCOPE (Community)
Bruce Reznik, LA Waterkeeper (Community)
Edgar Campos, T.R.U.S.T. South LA (Community)
Josette Descalzo, Beverly Hills (Municipal)
Lauren Amimoto, Inglewood (Municipal)
Roberto Perez, Los Angeles (Municipal)
Rafael Prieto, Los Angeles (Municipal)
Susie Santilena, Los Angeles (Municipal), Chair
Bruce Hamamoto, LA County (Municipal)
Curtis Castle, Santa Monica (Municipal)
Mikaela Randolph, Heal the Bay (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)
Michelle Struthers, S. Groner Associates (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)

*Committee Member Alternate

Committee Members Not Present:

E.J. Caldwell, West Basin MWD (Agency)
Alysen Weiland, PSOMAS (Community)

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

1. Welcome and Introductions

District staff conducted a brief tutorial on WebEx.

Susie Santilena, Chair of the Central Santa Monica Bay (CSMB) WASC, welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order.

District staff facilitated the roll call of Committee Members. All Committee Members made self-introductions and a quorum was established.

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes from January 20, 2022

District staff presented the meeting minutes from the previous meeting. Motion to approve the meeting minutes by Member Josette Descalzo, seconded by Member Darryl Ford. The Committee approved the January 20, 2022 minutes (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

3. Committee Member and District Updates

Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Chair Susie Santilena announced their transition from the City of Los Angeles Mayor's Office to Los Angeles Sanitation and Environment and indicated that they would continue to serve as the Mayor's appointee to the WASC.

District Staff provided an update, noting:

- On February 8th, the Board of Supervisors voted to continue meeting virtually, acting under the authority of Assembly Bill 361 which authorizes public committees to meet without complying with all the teleconferencing requirements of the Brown Act when warranted. The Board is reviewing its position every 30 days.
- For the Regional Program, the District has initiated the process of sending Fund Transfer Agreements (TAs) to project developers for projects approved in the Round 2 Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP). The District is continuing to process TA Addendums for Year 1 projects and initial TAs for Year 2 projects. District staff requested that Project Developers submit all required documents to safecleanwaterla@pw.lacounty.gov and to reach out to the District directly with any status updates.
- Projects approved for funding in year 1 (FY 20-21 SIP), had Q2 2021 Quarterly Reports for the Regional Program due on Feb 15, 2022. Projects approved for funding in year 2 (FY 21-22 SIP) and projects receiving their first disbursement, will have their first quarterly reports due on May 15, 2021, which will capture all expenditures through March 2022.
- Under the Municipal Program, Annual Plans are due April 1st. Annual Plans are required to receive the Municipal Program revenue. The District intends to add functionality to the existing reporting module to streamline the Annual Plan process; a webinar is planned for March.
- Public Review for the Safe Clean Water Program Guidance is now available on the website. The 30-day public review period is from February 16th to March 17th. Instructions on how to provide comments are posted on the [website](#).
- It is important for the WASC, and the work of the Regional Program, to remain transparent and fair. These principles are built into the SCWP and are represented by the ex parte disclosures on each agenda. WASC members whose job connects them to specific projects should ask colleagues or consultants to attend WASC meetings to share about or advocate for those projects during SIP deliberations and should avoid using their position as WASC members to advocate for projects from their home entities. Not all projects are connected to a WASC member's outside job, and project proponents for those projects must advocate for their inclusion during the managed opportunities (the application itself, presentation(s), questions from the WASC, and the public comment period). Ensuring that each project gets treated fairly during discussion and voting agenda items and that all proponents have equal access to engage the WASC discussion needs to be part of how the WASC manages itself.
- Reminder that the intent of the SCWP is to ensure project completion so that benefits claimed can be realized. Partial funding in phases without secure funds and a clear pathway to completion is highly discouraged. Whether it be structured accordingly with the initial request or a consideration by the WASC during the SIP development, the messaging will be that if the WASC decides to consider partial funding in phases such that future phases are dependent on subsequent SCWP funding requests, the WASC must consider and anticipate the future costs during SIP deliberation even if it is not formally earmarked or shown in the projections for planning purposes.

Chair Santilena asked District staff how partial funding relates to projects only requesting design funds. District staff responded that the WASC will only fund what is requested in the application, but potential construction estimates will be reflected in the SIP tool for planning purposes.

Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Member Descalzo asked if the SIP tool would be presented prior to deliberating on project prioritization. Chair Santilena and District staff confirmed the tool would be presented.

Member Descalzo also asked how O&M costs should be reflected in project applications and the SIP tool. District staff is working to include O&M costs into the tool for future year budgeting, past the current 5-year increments.

4. Watershed Coordinator Updates

Watershed Coordinator Mikaela Randolph announced a second watershed-wide event planned for March 15th and said that information will be sent to District staff to distribute to the WASC members. Eventbrite and social media will also be used to share the event with the public.

Watershed Coordinator Michelle Struthers provided high-level updates:

- S. Groner Associates (SGA) will submit their 3rd quarter report this week.
- Responses from the bilingual community needs assessment survey are being gathered. Watershed Coordinator Struthers thanked the WASC members for distributing the survey, noting that if the pilot project is found useful, it may be extended for use as a regional tool in the future.
- Watershed Coordinators are preparing a presentation for the March WASC meeting on how funded projects and proposed projects provide disadvantaged community benefits. The Council for Watershed Health organized a similar presentation for the Upper Los Angeles River WASC, which Committee Members found to be useful for SIP planning.

5. Public Comment Period

Two public comment cards were received in advance.

- One comment was submitted by Michael Scaduto on behalf of the City of Los Angeles, but Member Michael Scaduto was sitting as an alternate today on the WASC.
- The second comment was from Maria Yanez (faculty member of West LA College), who voiced support for the West LA College Soccer Field Basin Dry Well Project.

Nancy Barba (Sierra Club West LA Crew) shared a public comment, speaking in support of the West LA College Soccer Field Basin Dry Well Project.

Public comment cards are included at the end of these meeting minutes.

6. Discussion

a) Ex Parte Communication Disclosure

Member Bruce Reznik is not directly related to any of the projects but noted that LA Waterkeeper is part of Our Water LA which is creating its own recommendations for the projects.

Member Roberto Perez represents Council District 8 and has been in contact with the City of Los Angeles Sanitation Districts since the Angeles Mesa Green Infrastructure Corridor Project is in Council District 8.

Member Lauren Amimoto disclosed that the City of Inglewood is involved in the Edward Vincent Jr. Park Stormwater Improvements Project.

b) Regional Program Quarterly Reporting Summary ([SCW Portal](#))

Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



i) Quarter 3 (January – March 2021) and Quarter 4 (April – June 2022) ([Reports Summary](#))

District Staff reviewed the summary and noted which projects have activity concerns or modifications to their exhibits. District staff invited Project Developers to provide updates or clarifications on project changes or activity concerns, as well as confirm the budgets.

The Project Developer for the City of Beverly Hills Burton Way Green Street Project was not present, so Member Descalzo spoke on behalf of the applicant and gave an update. The Project started construction in January and will be able to submit expenditures. Chair Santilena asked for details about the activity concern highlighted on the Reports Summary. Member Descalzo said they would clarify with the developer whether the concern is due to expenditure reporting, project delays, or increased invoices from the contractor.

The Project Developer for the Culver City Mesmer Low Flow Diversion provided an update. Katie Harrel (CWE) explained that the schedule modification was due to minor delays in obtaining environmental permits. They have now all been acquired, and construction has begun. Harrel will double-check what the activity concern flagged in the quarter 4 report signifies, as it may be related to the delays.

The Project Developer for the Sustainable Water Infrastructure Project for the City of Santa Monica, Selim Eren (City of Santa Monica), explained the exhibit modification. The purpose of the amendment was to realign budget line items to reflect actual construction expenses. The Project is on track and the budget for fiscal year 2022-2023 was confirmed.

The Project Developer for the Culver City Washington Boulevard Stormwater and Urban Runoff Diversion provided an update. Harrel (CWE) explained that Exhibit A was updated because the schedule has changed slightly from the original application. The Project's budget for fiscal year 2022-2023 was confirmed. Harrel asked, in general, if this is the time for applicants to ask for additional funds or to disclose to the WASC if there is a surplus of funds. District staff replied that the process for requesting additional funds due to scope or scheduling changes has not been established yet and will need to be discussed further.

The Project Developer for the Ladera Park Stormwater Improvements Project provided an update. Paul Choy (LA County Public Works) noted that the schedule has been adjusted slightly but is otherwise near completion. The current budget was confirmed.

Alfredo Magallanes (LA City Sanitation and Environment) gave an update on the MacArthur Lake Rehabilitation Project, noting that the Project is on schedule. The CEQA documentation is in progress, and they anticipate completing the Project in the first quarter of 2023.

Choy provided an update on the Monteith Park and View Park Green Alley Stormwater Improvements Project, noting that they are wrapping up the advertising package and there was a slight adjustment in the schedule due to approval delays. The scope and budget were confirmed with no changes.

c) Review Preliminary Rankings of Round 3 Projects

Chair Santilena shared that they experienced difficulty when submitting the rankings. Member Rafael Prieto also experienced issues. District staff noted the feedback and will work to resolve the problems.

Member Amimoto requested confirmation that Inglewood's ranking was received. District staff confirmed they received Member Amimoto's ranking and added that they received nine other submissions from the WASC.

Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



District staff offered to share their screen and facilitate a live ranking of the projects during the discussion.

Chair Santilena noted that the third-party review of Scientific Studies conducted by Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP) will not be available until March. The review will factor into deliberations. The Chair asked if the WASC could deal with Scientific Studies separately. District staff explained that members will have the opportunity to re-submit their rankings.

Chair Santilena asked if Committee Members wanted to share any general concerns or considerations before going into a detailed review of the SIP.

Member Cung Nguyen agreed with Chair Santilena's emphasis on the preliminary aspect of the rankings and encouraged members to consider how the projects will affect the Watershed Areas once they are funded, specifically citing Disadvantaged Community Benefits and project budgets as strong items to consider while evaluating projects.

Chair Santilena added that the WASC should consider watershed impact. For example, some projects the WASC received were not located in the Watershed Area and although there may not be specific rules precluding such projects, location should be an aspect considered during project evaluation.

Member Bruce Hamamoto expressed appreciation for the ranking tool and asked if the survey results will be averaged as a preliminary starting point for discussion. District staff confirmed this and emphasized that the preliminary rankings are a tool to aid discussion amongst WASC members.

District staff shared screen with the project rankings sheet. The WASC discussed the Scientific Studies; Member Descalzo noted the low rankings and suggested the Committee consider funding at least one. Member Amimoto agreed and suggested dedicating a spot to Scientific Studies since they are hard to rank above infrastructure projects. Chair Santilena asked WASC members to indicate whether the lower rankings of Scientific Studies were due to prioritization of Infrastructure Projects, hesitation to rank without third-party review, or a combination of both factors.

Member Reznik explained their skepticism of the Scientific Studies, citing that funding should prioritize Infrastructure Projects. They are open to funding the best Scientific Studies and noted they would feel more comfortable once they received the SCCWRP reviews. Member Ford explained their score is based on a combination of both reasons and added that the analysis of the Scientific Studies will help. Member Descalzo said they understand prioritizing infrastructure projects but would still advocate for including Scientific Studies as they are important in the long term and have smaller budgets compared to Infrastructure Projects.

Mike Antos (Stantec, Regional Coordination) offered that the WASC might consider receiving a refresher presentation about the role of Scientific Studies in the SCWP, adding that Stantec or the Watershed Coordinators could lead the presentation. Vice Chair Rita Kampalath shared similar sentiments, noting that it would be helpful to hear WASC members' expectations of Scientific Studies to better inform future proposals. Chair Santilena suggested a special agenda item on Scientific Studies for the next meeting to discuss the committee's priorities. Antos agreed on the importance of the WASC setting clear expectations for proposals, noting how strategic thinking will help future proposal applicants for both the Scientific Studies and Infrastructure Projects address the priorities of the WASC.

District staff said the SCCWRP evaluations should be completed by the next meeting. They will add an agenda item to specifically discuss Scientific Studies.

Member Nguyen added that is important to support Scientific Studies as a WASC, citing that they have important regional impacts as well. Member Curtis Castle also mentioned that Scientific Studies that result

Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



in actionable items for the Enhanced Watershed Management Plan may help bridge the prioritization gap between infrastructure projects and Scientific Studies.

d) Central Santa Monica Bay (CSMB) Project Prioritization and Selection Discussion for populating the FY 2022-2023 Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) ([SIP Tool](#) & [CSMB Scoring Rubric](#))

i) Infrastructure Program (IP)

- 1) [Edward Vincent Jr. Park Stormwater Improvements](#)
City of Inglewood
- 2) [West Los Angeles College Soccer Field Basin Dry Well](#)
Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD
- 3) [Angeles Mesa Green Infrastructure Corridor](#)
City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
- 4) [Ladera Heights – W Centinela Ave Green Improvement](#)
Los Angeles County Public Works

ii) Technical Resources Program (TRP)

- 1) [Fern Dell Restoration and Stormwater Capture](#)
Friends of Griffith Park

iii) Scientific Studies (SS)

- 1) [Community-Centered Optimization of Nature-Based BMPs Starting with Gaffey Nature Center Facility](#)
SEITec
- 2) [Microplastics in LA County Stormwater](#)
Dr. Andrew Gray, UC Riverside
- 3) [Regional Pathogen Reduction](#)
Gateway Water Management Authority
- 4) [Community Garden Stormwater Capture Investigation](#)
Los Angeles Community Garden Council

District staff shared the SIP tool and gave a brief summary on the current SIP preview, how to use the tool, and answered clarifying questions from WASC members. District staff explained that the tool shows estimated construction costs for projects which only applied for design funds. Those estimates are highlighted in an aquamarine color, so that WASC members can consider those future needs during deliberation. District staff also noted that only Infrastructure Program (IP) projects show these future construction costs in the SIP. Member Scaduto asked for clarification of whether the future construction costs are reflected in the summary for planning purposes. District staff confirmed that they are, and they will not be included with the summary sent to the Regional Oversight Committee.

Chair Santilena suggested including funding buffers for future years. Member Descalzo spoke to the challenges of estimating funding for new projects while balancing estimated future funds from previously funded projects and agreed that including a buffer is important. Member Descalzo also asked whether the WASC is responsible for funding construction for projects that have only been approved for design. District staff replied that it is up to the WASC to determine whether funds beyond design will be approved in a future SIP by the WASC.

Watershed Coordinator Struthers prompted District staff to remind WASC members how requests for additional funds from projects affect the WASC. District staff replied that there is no formal process, but

Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



there will be more discussion on this topic. Watershed Coordinator Randolph reminded the WASC that Watershed Coordinators are responsible for identifying cost share partners and have created a database of those partners.

Member Reznik raised concerns around approving additional funds further down the line, explaining that it could incentivize project proponents to underestimate costs on their applications in order to receive higher scores from the Scoring Committee if they know that additional funding is set aside to supplement their budgets later.

Chair Santilena wrapped up the discussion and asked WASC members to read the projects to inform their priorities for the next WASC meeting. The Chair requested District staff send out the ranking survey again in order to receive more input from WASC members. Members who have already successfully submitted their rankings do not need to resubmit unless they change their mind. This will allow the WASC to hold a more detailed discussion during the next meeting, including using the SIP tool to toggle between different funding scenarios.

7. Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

8. Voting Items

There were no voting items.

9. Items for Next Agenda

The next meeting is scheduled for March 22, 2022, 10 AM – 12 PM. See the SCWP website for meeting details. Items for the next agenda include:

- a) Continued CSMB Project Prioritization and Selection Discussion for populating the FY 2022-2023 SIP
- b) Summary of Year 2 SIP Project's Funding Request for FY 2022-2023

At Chair Santilena's request, District staff explained that this item is to solidify Year 2 requests before going on to Year 3. Year 2 project proponents will be invited to the meeting.

- c) Scientific Studies Review Summaries
- d) Watershed Coordinators – DAC Benefits Presentations

10. Adjournment

Chair Santilena thanked WASC members and the public for their attendance and participation and adjourned the meeting.

CENTRAL SANTA MONICA BAY WASC MEETING - February 22, 2022

		Quorum Present				Voting Items
Member Type	Organization	Member	Voting?	Alternate	Voting?	01/20/2022 Meeting Minutes
Agency	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	Cung Nguyen	x	Mark Beltran		Y
Agency	West Basin Metropolitan Water District	E.J. Caldwell				
Agency	Los Angeles City Water & Power	Delon Kwan		Art Castro	x	Y
Agency	Los Angeles City Sanitation and Environment	Sheila Brice		Michael Scaduto	x	A
Agency	Los Angeles City Recreation & Parks	Cathie Santo Domingo		Darryl Ford	x	Y
Community Stakeholder	Los Angeles County Chief Sustainability Office	Rita Kampalath	x	Gary Gero		Y
Community Stakeholder	PSOMAS / Business Sector	Alysen Weiland				
Community Stakeholder	The Solutions Project / SCOPE	Gloria Walton		Gloria Medina	x	Y
Community Stakeholder	Los Angeles Waterkeeper	Bruce Reznik	x	Maggie Gardner		Y
Community Stakeholder	T.R.U.S.T. South LA	Edgar Campos	x			Y
Municipal Members	Beverly Hills / West Hollywood	Josette Descalzo	x			Y
Municipal Members	Inglewood	Lauren Amimoto	x	Thomas Lee		Y
Municipal Members	Los Angeles	Roberto Perez	x	Max Podemski		A
Municipal Members	Los Angeles	Rafael Prieto	x			Y
Municipal Members	Los Angeles	Susie Santilena	x	Rebecca Rasmussen		Y
Municipal Members	Los Angeles County	Bruce Hamamoto	x	Geremew Amenu		Y
Municipal Members	Santa Monica	Curtis Castle	x	George Rodriguez		A
Watershed Coordinator	Heal the Bay	Mikaela Randolph	x			N/A
Watershed Coordinator	S. Groner Associates	Michelle Struthers	x			N/A
Total Non-Vacant Seats		17			Yay (Y)	12
Total Voting Members Present		15			Nay (N)	0
Agency		4			Abstain (A)	3
Community Stakeholder		4			Total	15
Municipal Members		7				Approved

Other Attendees	
Amenu, Geremew	Kincaid, Chanel
Andrade, Carmen	Kona, Benny
Antos, Mike	Le, Phuoc
Apt, Daniel	Lee, Thomas
Atijera, Jeff	Luevano, Mara
Barba, Nancy	Magallanes, Alfredo
Bari, Masih	Matos, Lorena
Begley, Amanda	McLarty, Donald
Breckell, LACCD	McLeod, Christine
Carandang, Chris	Mika, Katie
Caringella, Marissa	Mossavi, Conor
Chang, Johanna	Orozco, Gustavo
Choy, Paul	Parks, Brad
Chupa, D	Patsch, Gregor
Davis, Trevor	Perry, Brett
Dinh, Wendy	Plourde, Kara
Edgley, Ryan	Ponton, Brenda
Eftekhazadeh, Shahriar	Rasmus, Jim
Eren, Selim	Reed, Michelle
Gallo, Elizabeth	Saucedo, Sunshine
Gardner, Maggie	Wong, Tiffany
Gray, Caitlin	Yanez, Maria
Jackson, Ryan	York, Michele
Kharaghani, Shahram	Zhu, Serena



Public Comment Form

Name:* _____ Organization*: _____

Email*: _____ Phone*: _____

Meeting: _____ Date: _____

LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

Comments



Public Comment Form

Name:* _____ Organization*: _____
Email*: _____ Phone*: _____
Meeting: _____ Date: _____

LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments
*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

Comments

**Central Santa Monica Bay
Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary**

Full reports are available at: <https://portal.safecleanwaterla.org/scw-reporting/map>

Call for Projects FY	FY20-21
Report Year	FY20-21

Row Labels	Project Developer	Report Period	Active Phase List	Scope Modification	Exhibit Modification	Activity Concern	Activity Delay	Sum of Funding Projected	Sum of Funds Awarded to Date	Sum of Expenditures to Date	WASC Notes
Infrastructure Project											
Beverly Hills Burton Way Green Street and Water Efficient Landscape Project	City of Beverly Hills	Q3 (January - March)	Environmental Documentation	No	No	Yes	No	\$5,000,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	
		Q4 (April - June)	Environmental Documentation	No	No	Yes	No	\$5,000,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	
Culver City Mesmer Low Flow Diversion	City of Culver City	Q3 (January - March)	Other, Design	No	A-10 Work Schedule and Completion Date Modifications	N/A	No	\$950,000.00	\$237,500.00	\$0.00	
		Q4 (April - June)	Other, Design	No	No	Yes	No	\$950,000.00	\$237,500.00	\$0.00	
Ladera Park Stormwater Improvements Project	Los Angeles County Public Works	Q3 (January - March)	Construction	No	No	N/A	No	\$2,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	
		Q4 (April - June)	Construction	No	No	N/A	No	\$2,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	
MacArthur Lake Rehabilitation Project	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation	Q4 (April - June)	Planning	No	No	N/A	No	\$20,043,718.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$0.00	
Monteith Park and View Park Green Alley Stormwater Improvements Project	Los Angeles County Public Works	Q3 (January - March)	Design, Environmental Documentation, Other	No	No	N/A	No	\$4,550,000.00	\$1,400,000.00	\$712,224.89	
		Q4 (April - June)	Design, Environmental Documentation, Other	No	No	N/A	No	\$4,550,000.00	\$1,400,000.00	\$934,151.08	
Sustainable Water Infrastructure Project	City of Santa Monica	Q3 (January - March)	Construction	No	No	N/A	No	\$7,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	
		Q4 (April - June)	Construction	No	A-1 Budget Plan Modifications, A-3 Total Activity Cost Modifications	N/A	No	\$7,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$5,862,816.00	
Washington Boulevard Stormwater and Urban Runoff Diversion	City of Culver City	Q3 (January - March)	Other, Design	No	A-10 Work Schedule and Completion Date Modifications	N/A	No	\$3,600,000.00	\$1,200,000.00	\$267,102.03	
		Q4 (April - June)	Other, Design	No	No	N/A	No	\$3,600,000.00	\$1,200,000.00	\$271,857.03	
Grand Total								\$67,243,718.00	\$19,675,000.00	\$10,048,151.03	