



| Watershed Area | South Santa Monica Bay |
|----------------------------|--|
| Project Name | Downtown Lomita Multi-Benefit Stormwater Project |
| Project Lead | City of Lomita |
| Total Funding Requested | \$449,300 |
| Project Type | Wet |

| Scoring Section | Applicant Score | Maximum Points | Scoring Committee Score | Notes |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1 | 20 | 20 | 20 | • |
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2 | 30 | 30 | 30 | • |
| Water Supply Part 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | • |
| Water Supply Part 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | • |
| Community Investment | 5 | 10 | 5 | • |
| Nature-Based Solutions | 13 | 15 | 13 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | • |
| TOTALS | 78 | 110 | 76 | • |





| Watershed Area | South Santa Monica Bay |
|----------------------------|---|
| Project Name | Fulton Playfield Multi-Benefit Infiltration Project |
| Project Lead | City of Redondo Beach |
| Total Funding Requested | \$4,292,138 |
| Project Type | Wet |

| Scoring Section | Applicant Score | Maximum Points | Scoring Committee Score | Notes |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1 | 20 | 20 | 20 | Recommend using a lower more conservative infiltration rate (0.25 cfs). Update application. Adjusted drawdown rate to 0.25 cfs |
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2 | 30 | 30 | 25 | • |
| Water Supply Part 1 | 6 | 13 | 0 | • |
| Water Supply Part 2 | 5 | 12 | 0 | • |
| Community Investment | 10 | 10 | 5 | Not part of a school |
| Nature-Based Solutions | 15 | 15 | 10 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | Outlined community identified needs |
| TOTALS | 90 | 110 | 63 | Add explanation of how detention basin works |





| Watershed Area | South Santa Monica Bay |
|-------------------------|--|
| Project Name | Hermosa Beach Multi-Benefit Parking Lot Greening Project (Lot D) |
| Project Lead | Hermosa Beach |
| Total Funding Requested | \$423,950 |
| Project Type | Wet |

| Scoring Section | Applicant Score | Maximum Points | Scoring Committee Score | Notes |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Quality | | | | |
| Wet + Dry Weather | 20 | 20 | 20 | • |
| Part 1 | | | | |
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) | 30 | 30 | 30 | • |
| Part 2 Water Supply | | | | |
| Part 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | • |
| Water Supply | 0 | 40 | 0 | |
| Part 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | • |
| Community Investment | 5 | 10 | 5 | Credit for localized flooding |
| Nature-Based Solutions | 11 | 15 | 11 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 letters of support and extensive community engagement |
| TOTALS | 76 | 110 | 76 | • |

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



| Watershed Area | South Santa Monica Bay |
|----------------------------|---|
| Project Name | Los Angeles Harbor College Central and West Campus Underground Infiltration and Biofiltration Project |
| Project Lead | Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD |
| Total Funding Requested | \$3,152,758 |
| Project Type | Wet |

| Scoring Section | Applicant Score | Maximum Points | Scoring Committee Score | Notes |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1 | 7 | 20 | 7 | Need justification of how effective drawdown rate and stormwater use was determined Provided requested geotech info |
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2 | 20 | 30 | 20 | • |
| Water Supply Part 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | • |
| Water Supply Part 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | • |
| Community Investment | 10 | 10 | 5 | Minimal park space and recreation enhancement |
| Nature-Based Solutions | 15 | 15 | 11 | Removal of impermeable area |
| Leveraging Funds Part 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | Have not engaged benefitting DACs Need to provide referenced letter of support |
| TOTALS | 62 | 110 | 51 | Does not meet points threshold |





| Watershed Area | South Santa Monica Bay |
|-------------------------|---|
| Project Name | Machado Lake Ecosystem Rehabilitation (MLER) Operations and Maintenance |
| Project Lead | City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment |
| Total Funding Requested | \$5,700,000 |
| Project Type | Wet |

| Scoring Section | Applicant Score | Maximum Points | Scoring Committee Score | Notes |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1 | 20 | 20 | 20 | Recommend scoring as dry weather project |
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2 | 30 | 30 | 20 | • |
| Water Supply Part 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | • |
| Water Supply Part 2 | 9 | 12 | 0 | Need verification of amount of potable water offset by project |
| Community Investment | 10 | 10 | 5 | • |
| Nature-Based Solutions | 10 | 15 | 10 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | Discussion of ongoing engagement |
| TOTALS | 86 | 110 | 61 | • |





| Watershed Area | South Santa Monica Bay |
|----------------------------|--|
| Project Name | West Rancho Dominguez - San Pedro Street Green Improvement |
| Project Lead | Los Angeles County Public Works |
| Total Funding Requested | \$800,000 |
| Project Type | Wet |

| Scoring Section | Applicant Score | Maximum Points | Scoring Committee Score | Notes |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1 | 11 | 20 | 11 | • |
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2 | 30 | 30 | 30 | • |
| Water Supply Part 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | • |
| Water Supply Part 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | • |
| Community Investment | 5 | 10 | 5 | Addresses localized flooding, improving vegetation |
| Nature-Based Solutions | 13 | 15 | 10 | Natural materialsOver claim of removal of impermeable area |
| Leveraging Funds Part 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | Provide info on August community engagement |
| TOTALS | 69 | 110 | 64 | • |





| Watershed Area | South Santa Monica Bay | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Project Name | Wilmington-Anaheim Green Infrastructure Corridor Project | | |
| Project Lead | City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and Environment | | |
| Total Funding Requested | \$12,808,258 | | |
| Project Type | Wet | | |

| Scoring Section | Applicant Score | Maximum Points | Scoring Committee Score | Notes |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1 | 7 | 20 | 7 | Need verification of how infiltration rate was determined No geotech report or plans provided |
| Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2 | 30 | 30 | 30 | • |
| Water Supply Part 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | • |
| Water Supply Part 2 | 5 | 12 | 0 | Need to provide proof of water supply benefit General letter of support from WRD |
| Community Investment | 10 | 10 | 5 | Project not in a school |
| Nature-Based Solutions | 11 | 15 | 11 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 1 | N/A | 6 | 0 | • |
| Leveraging Funds Part 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | • |
| TOTALS | 67 | 110 | 56 | Does not meet points threshold |