



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Acacia Avenue Storm Drain Infiltration Project
Project Lead	City of Glendale
Total Funding Requested	\$2,086,500
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		 Request for additional details, need additional justification Revised budget request and reduced number of dry wells
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30		•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13		•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12		•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	•
TOTALS	62	110	Unable to score	 Applicant can resubmit or be considered for TRP





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Alexandria Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
Total Funding Requested	\$7,019,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	7	 Close to capturing 85th % storm. Recommendation to change size of BMP to obtain additional points
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	 Design not clear on access to waterways Need additional information Concerns over displacement of tiny home village Does project truly increase pervious area? Have addressed access to waterways Concern over impermeable area
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	10	 Issue with removal of affordable housing
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	64	110	59	Does not meet points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	California Avenue and Adjacent Streets Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Glendale
Total Funding Requested	\$5,320,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		Needs more information
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30		•
Water Supply Part 1	3	13		•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12		•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	•
TOTALS	63	110	Unable to score	Will need to submit in next call for projects round





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Echo Park Lake Rehabilitation Operation and Maintenance
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$2,400,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	20	 Recommend submitting project as dry weather project Disagree with 90 ac-ft capacity
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	 Request for additional info. What is the potable water consumption of the late?
Community Investment	10	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	79	110	62	•





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Jackson Elementary School Campus Greening and Stormwater Quality Improvement Project
Project Lead	Amigos de los Rios (ADLR) and Pasadena Unified School District (PUSD)
Total Funding Requested	\$3,018,148
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	 Cannot calculate scores with given data in application Provided requested information
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	10	Increase due to active community use of school
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	Strong community support
TOTALS	70	110	75	•





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	La Crescenta Green Improvement Project
Project Lead	County of Los Angeles
Total Funding Requested	\$1,500,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality	20	20	20	
Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	 Need to adjust drawdown rate Provided requested justification
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	67	110	65	•





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	LA River Green Infrastructure Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$31,821,160
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	No dry weather cost effectiveness criteria
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	 Reduced points since project is adjacent to school, not within school.
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	Outreach was not participatory, one way sharing of information
TOTALS	77	110	70	•





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	North Hollywood Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	SEITec
Total Funding Requested	\$68,229,081
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	 Request for additional information to demonstrate how various values were calculated Provided requested app justification values
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
Water Supply Part 1	3	13	0	 No letter of support attached from LADWP, if provided would have awarded 15 pts for Water Supply 1+2
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	No points for enhancing access to waterway and greening of school
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	15	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0	One way outreach. Need clarity on entities supporting project
TOTALS	89	110	65	•





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Whitsett Fields Park North Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
Total Funding Requested	\$8,393,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality				
Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	•
Part 1				
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	50	
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply	5	12	5	
Part 2	5	12	5	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	Quantification of net trees
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds				Strong coordination effort with
Part 2	4	4	4	community
TOTALS	80	110	80	•





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Winery Canyon Channel and Descanso Gardens Stormwater Capture and Reuse Project
Project Lead	Descanso Gardens Guild, Inc.; City of La Canada Flintridge
Total Funding Requested	\$6,848,600
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	Provided requested supporting info
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	 Need to add a drawdown rate in app Revised calcs requested
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	Several letters of support from conservation groups
TOTALS	65	110	64	•





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	LAMC South Arroyo Improvement and Deep Underground Infiltration Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Mission College/BuildLACCD
Total Funding Requested	\$1,210,433
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	0	20	0	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	 Minimal rec benefits and park space benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	 Pending letter of support. Lacking strong community support
TOTALS	60	110	53	Below Threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Watts Civic Center Serenity Greenway
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Council District 15
Total Funding Requested	\$2,664,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	 Applicant should provide additional information to justify inconsistencies in values throughout the application No geotech report to justify infiltration rate Changed funding request Recommend classifying project as dry weather Wet weather info unknown until there is more geotech data
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	Points for increasing trees and shade
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	15	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	Strong community support
TOTALS	79	110	64	•