

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Alhambra Wash Dry-Weather Diversion
Project Lead	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG), Mark Christoffels
Total Funding Requested	\$2,737,180
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	Unable to score 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would be beneficial to see additional details and justification as project is asking for construction funding. • Applicant using enhanced walking under shade as a recreational benefit, may be more geared towards habitat benefit. • Unclear if this area is high in pedestrian traffic. • Applicant to provide additional documents to show planting plan, and clarify number of trees. •
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	Unable to score 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very little detail provided to justify nature-based solution benefits claimed. • Unclear if benefits will be provided, listed as potential within application • Applicant confirmed bioswale area and number of trees; this needs to be verified. • Question if pedestrians in area; applicant stated likely high pedestrian traffic
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	Unable to score 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Match percentage appears inconsistent. Unclear if \$200k is missing. • Applicant confirmed 50% match • Applicant noted cost share is associated only with capital cost and not O&M.
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	
TOTALS	65	110	Unable to score 65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Points awarded with the understanding that bioswales and trees documented will be implemented

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Arboretum Natural Treatment Wetland & Groundwater Recharge Facility
Project Lead	City of Arcadia
Total Funding Requested	\$981,890
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Max Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reclassify as a Dry weather project. Does not capture 85th. Applicant assumed 100% capture of the pollutants diverted into the project, which is not the intent of this criteria (TJ to confirm language in guidelines, reduction of diversion or reduction of entire tributary) Assumed 6" per hour infiltration rate, which seems high (applicant clarified this is the amount of water flowing through the project) Wetlands projects cannot be modeled in the SCW Projects Module
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assuming Dry, >200ac
Water Supply Part 1	10	13	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likely in unconfined aquifer User input their own values for modeling Modeling unclear what infiltration amount, is it 47 or 406 AFY (applicant clarified; TJ noted that water treated by wetlands had not been classified as supply in round 1 call for projects; JR and Bruce noted that it could be classified as supply or equal standing; no clear policy; JR noted that this type of project is now the norm, should be resolved)
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear how project will impact downstream projects, is it claiming supply that is already or will be captured downstream
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jill and Bruce noted dry weather reclassification removes flood control benefit Bruce noted that he would like additional justification from applicants to claim CI benefits JR noted his notes had 8/10 CI benefits (no mechanism to award 8 points; will be solved in Round 3 call for projects) Future rubrics should provide flexibility for additional investment types
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impervious surface increases.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	86	110	81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scoring Committee members noted that the project is a good representative project for SCW.

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	East Los Angeles College Northeast Drainage Area and City of Monterey Park Biofiltration Project
Project Lead	East Los Angeles College/Build LACCD
Total Funding Requested	\$532,618
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	To be Confirmed 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Website not able to model wetlands. Applicant provides a draw down rate, but there is no diversion or infiltration. Should be zero. Reduces ratio Applicant noted drawdown rate is associated with filtration Applicant to provide justification for filtration drawdown rate comparison. Draw down rate confirmed – 0.375 with documentation provided
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project is larger than the 85th percentile. Should have maximized pollutant capture.
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear how the project is enhancing the school and improve local head island effect. Are these new plantings to provide school improvement and heat island? Applicant noted site has some existing vegetation, and project will include new native plantings. Applicant notes improvements will be to both the school and the transit center. Applicant to provide additional detail and plans to the WASC.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application notes reduction of impermeable area, would be beneficial to see detail on this.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	61	110	Held 61	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SC holding pending additional detail

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Eaton Wash Dry-Weather Diversion
Project Lead	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG), Mark Christoffels
Total Funding Requested	\$1,894,220
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	2 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would be beneficial to see additional details and justification as project is asking for construction funding. • Application notes benefits are potential. With construction funds, more detail should be available. • Applicant to provide graphic and counts for detailed plantings and improvements
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	5 10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application notes reduction of impermeable area, would be beneficial to see detail on this. • Unclear if swale is included in the project, as it is called out as a potential improvement. • Applicant to provide clarity if these natural features will be included in the project.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	65	110	57 65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Points awarded with the understanding that bioswales and trees documented will be implemented

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Merced Ave Greenway (Phase I - South Residential Corridor)
Project Lead	City of South El Monte
Total Funding Requested	\$3,234,694
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity is an aggregate of all BMPs in project. Confirmed.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant did a very good job at justifying the various benefits provided.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SC recognized a good collection of cost match and leveraging other funding sources
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	61	110	61	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Mt. Lowe Median Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Total Funding Requested	\$800,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is a challenge to model drywells in the SCW website. Applicant using creative inputs to match the capacity of the drywell.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only letter of support is from a town council. Applicant noted the Altadena town council functions primarily as a neighborhood council. SC recognizes that more clarity is required by the ROC and District to specify intent for what constitutes Strong Community Support.
TOTALS	66	110	66	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Plymouth School Neighborhood Stormwater Capture Demonstration Project
Project Lead	Amigos de los Rios (AdIR), Claire Robinson
Total Funding Requested	\$559,162
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 in/hr seems high. Would be beneficial to see justification. • No Geotech report provided in this report to justify infiltration rate. Even with zero rate, still scores 20 pts.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unclear if flooding benefit is justified. Does not impact score. • Applicant noted that flooding does occur at the site, and the project will remove the surface water during flooding.
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SC recognizes the strong community support for this project.
TOTALS	73	110	73	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Rio Hondo Ecosystem Restoration Project
Project Lead	City of Monrovia
Total Funding Requested	\$2,329,375
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project is undersized for the 85th percentile storm, should be classified as dry-weather project. Would not impact score.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant used their own modeling. As project is asking for design funds, assumptions are reasonable.
Water Supply Part 1	10	13	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant used their own modeling. There's no letter from the groundwater master endorsing the project
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project appears to provide more benefits than were claimed, such as reducing heat island effect, shade, and providing trees. Unclear if project provides flood benefit.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	81	110	81	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Rubio Wash Dry-Weather Diversion
Project Lead	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG), Mark Christoffels
Total Funding Requested	\$2,977,080
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	2 5	• Walking claimed for recreation without more details on how much of a benefit is provided.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	• Unclear if swale will be provided or not. There is only a potential of the trees and swale. • Applicant to provide schematic to show what benefits will be provided.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	• Unclear where the remaining \$200k are to make a full 50/50 match. • Applicant to provide clarity on cost match.
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	65	110	Held 65	• Held pending additional information to SC.