

Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River
Project Name	Fairplex
Project Lead	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group
Total Funding Requested	\$31,900,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	 No Geotech report provided to justify draw down rate. Plans provided in application show boring logs exist for the site. May be able to use these logs to estimate draw down rate. Applicant used custom value for capacity that is less than modeled 24-hour capacity. As application is requesting construction funds, justification for draw down rate needed. Applicant provided geotech evaluation from Metro. Geotech confirmed.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	20 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	Unable to score 9	 Unclear if sections of the application are missing, or if justification is not provided.
Community Investment	2	10	2	•
Nature-Based Solutions	5	15	5	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0	 Applicant included no letters of support, only a pending letter from the LA County Fair Association. Landowner or business letters of support do not meet the intent of strong community support.
TOTALS	73	110	Unable to score 66	SC holding project pending additional justification from applicant

Safe, Clean Water Program



Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022

Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River
Project Name	FINKBINER PARK STORMWATER CAPTURE PROJECT
Project Lead	City of Glendora
Total Funding Requested	\$2,581,286
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	 Project is slightly larger than the 85th percentile
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	9	 Applicant only includes a request letter to the Groundwater Master, but project is currently in design phase so full details not yet available.
Community Investment	5	10	5	 Project could have taken credit for enhancing recreation.
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	Applicant did not take credit for match funds.
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0	 Project includes a letter of support only from a city community service office. As a city department, this does not meet the intent of a strong community support such as a CBO, NGO, or other community group.
TOTALS	80	110	76	•



Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River
Project Name	Larkin Park
Project Lead	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group
Total Funding Requested	\$23,100,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score 11	 No Geotech report provided to justify drawdown rate. Applicant used a custom value for their capacity which is higher than the website generated value. Applicant to provide justification for draw down rate and 24-hour capacity. Applicant provided geotech report from nearby garden. 1.8 in/hr rate. Capacity 13.9 ac-ft
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	Unable to score 9	 Score will change if modifications are made to draw down rate and 24-hour capacity. The watermaster has provided a conditional letter of support, with concerns about high groundwater table. Applicant provided additional information on groundwater; pulled information from nearby well showing 40' clearance
Community Investment	2	10	2	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0	 Letter provided by the Mayor of Claremont, which focuses only on MS4 compliance vs providing community benefits.
TOTALS	75	110	Unable to score 62	•



Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River
Project Name	Lone Hill Park
Project Lead	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group
Total Funding Requested	\$9,900,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score 11	 No Geotech report provided to justify drawdown rate. Applicant used their own custom value for their 24-hour capacity. Applicant to provide justification for these values. Applicant provided boring logs from the project site. 3.22 in/hr, expected to be higher.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	Unable to score 2	 Will change if modifications are made to draw down rate and 24-hour capacity. Applicant is in the process of retrieving a letter of support from Groundwater Master.
Community Investment	5	10	5	 Additional graphics and planting palette would be good to see. Parking lot may have the potential for pervious pavement.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0 4	 Letter of support from Mayor, with a focus on community benefits. Intent is for a community group to provide this letter of support. Recommendation to seek additional community support
TOTALS	74	110	Unable to score 62	Request for Groundwater Master letter before WASC meeting



Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River
Project Name	Washington Park
Project Lead	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group
Total Funding Requested	\$48,400,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score 20	 No Geotech report provided to justify drawdown rate and custom higher 24-hour capacity. Applicant to provide Geotech information to justify these values. Applicant provided boring logs of nearby project, showing silty sand Infiltration rate seems too low, increasing infiltration rate could save construction cost
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	 85th percentile calculation seems low. Most of the tributary area is urban. Applicant to provide additional clarity for why 85th seems low.
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	Unable to score 12	 Will change if modifications are made to the drawdown rate and 24-hour capacity Letter of support was not provided from Raymond Basin
Community Investment	5	10	5	 Additional graphics and planting palette would be good to see. Parking lot may have the potential for pervious pavement.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0	• Letter provided from council, with a focus on community benefits. Did council provide community input? Letters from elected officials are beneficial, but intent is to come from a community group.
TOTALS	81	110	Unable to score 77	•

Safe, Clean Water Program



Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022

Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River
Project Name	Zamora Park Renovation Project
Project Lead	City of El Monte
Total Funding Requested	\$2,000,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score 20	 No dimensions provided for the basins, unclear how capacity was calculated. 24-hour capacity seems excessively high Applicant to provide details and justification for the capacity. Applicant revised footprint area to 2.4 accapacity reduced to 23 ac-ft.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	 Bus stop enhancements are not on the school property. Does not change score. Applicant noted that students use the city bus at this site.
Nature-Based Solutions	13	15	13 11	Reduced due to resize of project
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	78	110	Unable to score 76	•