

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Ballona Creek Dry Weather Flow Treatment Project
Project Lead	SEITec
Total Funding Requested	\$13,100,000
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Algae is not a TMDL Unclear justification for treatment volume Applicant noted targeting algae will lead to the treatment of bacteria No diversion for this project Algae not a pollutant within a stream river, would also meet algae downstream after leaving site. Applicant confirmed General Permit for algae
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear Justification for water supply volume
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant provided their own adjustments for water supply Complex infrastructure system for the size of the project. Concern for how the project would get permitted and operated at a school
Community Investment	10	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant claiming recreational benefit by improving water quality in the channel
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant provided a neighborhood council letter of support
TOTALS	68	110	28	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A technical support grant may be a better fit at this point. Or if the applicant can retrieve the permit and agreement from the property owners.

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Ballona Creek Low Flow Diversion Project
Project Lead	SEITec
Total Funding Requested	\$14,951,000
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	13	13	13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 19ft high rubber dam is concerning with potential dangers or safety concerns, does not affect score. • Applicant noted the application includes examples of similarly tall rubber dams • Unclear if Hyperion has capacity to treat this supply. JR Noted Hyperion is targeting to use 100% of the flow.
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	• Additional justification would be beneficial, does not affect score
Nature-Based Solutions	5	15	0	• Applicant claims gravity for NBS, which does not meet the intent of the NBS category in the context of SCW.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0	• Applicant did not have a letter of support from any community entities (NGO, CBO, etc)
TOTALS	79	110	70	• Very similar to a second project proposed for Ballona Creek

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Ballona Creek TMDL Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$15,000,000
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	13	13	13	•
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	5	15	5 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project provides some greening, would be helpful to have additional detail on this portion of the project. • Does not impact score. • Scoring Committee removed points as nature-based solutions is intended to be for the process for how water is treated vs providing greening around the site.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	85	110	85 80	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Blackwelder Tract Lower Ballona Creek Green BMPs and Landscape Improvement Project
Project Lead	California Greenworks, Inc.
Total Funding Requested	\$5,848,774
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	0 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project targets treating the 85th percentile Applicant uses an aggregate number for the design elements, which is difficult to confirm. The applicant uses an assumed draw down rate, which is not based on any Geotech study. Drawdown rate should be closer to 1 in/hr to match the 85th. Cost per capacity would go up due to less treatment volume. Estimated 0.28 Capacity/\$M \$5M for a 5ac treatment area seems high Applicant provided updated numbers to justify drawdown rate. Would still be beneficial to see backup justification to the WASC.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	61	110	54 61	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Hayden Tract Lower Ballona Creek Green BMPs and Landscape Improvement Project
Project Lead	California Greenworks, Inc.
Total Funding Requested	\$5,120,579
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$5M for a 14ac treatment area seems high • Applicant uses a high 2.5 in/hr infiltration rate. Assumes treating double the 85th percentile • 1 in/hr is more reasonable. This is still an 85th percentile project • Estimated 0.6 (capacity/\$M)
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	• Additional justification would be beneficial. Does not affect score.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	74	110	65	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Normandie Ave ES - DROPS and Paving
Project Lead	Los Angeles Unified School District
Total Funding Requested	\$5,213,778
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There's no plans, cost estimate, or hydrology. Applicant uses a 15.1 in/hr infiltration rate, which brings 24-hr capacity to 25 ac-ft in one day, which seems high. Needs justification. Applicant should go back in to assume 85th volume. Estimated 0.2 AF/\$5M will yield a score of 0 Total inflow volume in application shows 0 ac-ft. Need to show hydrology \$5M for 3ac treatment area Percolation test may not have allowed hours of pre-soak to validate infiltration rate Applicant provided updated numbers to increase total capacity. Applicant adjusted construction cost, but appear inconsistent throughout. Project is potentially 50x oversized to treat the 85th percentile. SC to review a potential cap in the future to capacity over the 85th. Does not impact score
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant to provide hydrology
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional details on the planting would have been helpful. Does not impact score.
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated numbers for cost were inconsistent. Not clear what the construction costs were. Will need to be addressed to the WASC.
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SC noted there should be some level of community support for this project, which could potentially raise score.
TOTALS	71	110	Unable to Score 71	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant to find additional justification, construction cost breakdown.

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Slauson Connect Clean Water Project
Project Lead	Corvias Infrastructure Solutions, Geosyntec Consultants
Total Funding Requested	\$4,898,440
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	7 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.7 in/hr drawdown rate seems high, need additional justification to verify this rate. Otherwise will not score higher than 60pts. 24-hr volume seems high as well, estimated 1.7 ac-ft Applicant to follow-up and provide additional justification. Applicant updated drawdown rate to 0
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25 30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant used their own modeling results.
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not enough backup justification provided. Unclear what "maximum extent feasible" means. Needs additional justification. Access to waterway seems questionable, additional justification would be beneficial. Intent to greening of Schools benefit does not include after schools program. Applicant justification provided indirect benefits to access to waterways, SC agreed this did not meet the intent of this benefit.
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	0 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter of support from the Vermont Slauson Development company (business incubator). Intent is to be from a CBO, NGO, etc. Applicant noted the project has been developed in coordination with the community and neighborhood councils. Applicant has provided updated letters of support.
TOTALS	62	110	Unable to Score 62	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project seems closer to a concept, not fully fleshed out currently. Project may be geared more towards a design phase, or TRP Project applicant noted \$0 needed for the first year.

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Venice High School Comprehensive Modernization Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Unified School District
Total Funding Requested	\$6,088,250
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12 in/hr infiltration rate and 9ac-ft capacity seem high Cost breakdown is hard to follow, not possible to parse out the cost of the water quality components. Additional justification needed Applicant noted the 7 ac-ft is infiltrated with additional for storage which leads to 9ac-ft Project is designed as a flood project, with a much higher volume than the 85th percentile volume. Overdesigned for the 85th Applicant to follow-up with additional detail to the WASC
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More detail would be beneficial on the Flood Risk Mitigation benefit. Does not impact score.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A school based project should have been able to secure letters from the community, PTA, etc.
TOTALS	70	110	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Webster MS - DROPS
Project Lead	Los Angeles Unified School District
Total Funding Requested	\$1,632,382
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Plans, no cost, no hydrology. Not possible to score or validate. Project designed for much higher than the 85th. Overdesigned. Applicant to follow-up with needed information. Applicant provided updated construction cost numbers, however, unclear what the costs are as inconsistent throughout application. Updated WQ data, provides enough justification to score. SC to revisit the overdesigned project and the score over inflation.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal detail provided for the greenery. Would be beneficial to see more details and justification.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application notes a reduction in impervious area, but there's no points shown in the Project Module
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A school-based project should have been able to secure letters from the community, PTA, etc.
TOTALS	65	110	Unable to Score 65	<ul style="list-style-type: none">