

Safe, Clean Water Program

Fiscal Year 2020-2021

Regional Program Overview



Overview of Project Submittals Lower San Gabriel River

All Projects received through the Project Module were reviewed for completeness by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District. An overview of the current status of project submittals is included.

If a Project meets the 19 requirements described in the Feasibility Study Guidelines, the project is deemed complete and transmitted to the Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) for evaluation. The full Feasibility Study Report for completed Projects and an interactive map is available online at www.SafeCleanWaterLA.org.

If a Project does not meet the 19 requirements described in the Feasibility Study Guidelines, the project is not deemed complete. Project applicants may request to be referred to the Technical Resources Program for consideration in the current Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) or Project applicants may choose to re-apply for the Infrastructure Program next Fiscal Year.

Please refer to the following attachments for details:

Attachment A – Project Overview

Attachment B – Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) Criteria

Attachment C – Criteria for Disadvantaged Communities (DACs)

Attachment D – Criteria for Municipalities

Attachment E – Infrastructure Program Projects and Map

Attachment F – Technical Resources Program Projects

Attachment G – Scientific Studies Program



**ATTACHMENT A
 Project Overview
 Lower San Gabriel River**

Program	Estimated Annual Regional Program Funds	Number of Projects				
		Submitted	Deemed Complete	Under Scoring Evaluation	Under WASC Consideration	Included in SIP
Infrastructure Program (>85%)	\$14.2 M	10	10	-	-	-
Technical Resources Program (≤10%)*	\$1.7 M	-	N/A	N/A	-	-
Scientific Studies Program (≤5%)	\$0.8 M	1	N/A	N/A	1	-
TOTAL	\$16.7 M	11	10	-	1	-

*Infrastructure Program Projects may be referred to the Technical Resources Program at the Project applicant's request or at the WASC's discretion.



ATTACHMENT B
Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) Criteria

- A. Not less than eighty-five percent (85%) of the budget shall be allocated to Infrastructure Program activities, not more than ten (10%) of the budget shall be allocated to Technical Resource Program activities, and not more than five percent (5%) of the budget shall be allocated to Scientific Studies Program activities;
- B. Projects that assist in achieving compliance with a MS4 Permit shall be prioritized, to the extent feasible;
- C. Funding for Projects that provide DAC Benefits shall not be less than one hundred and ten percent (110%) of the ratio of the DAC population to the total population in each Watershed Area. To facilitate compliance with this requirement, the District will work with stakeholders and Watershed Coordinator(s) to utilize existing tools to identify high-priority geographies for water-quality improvement projects and other projects that create DAC Benefits within DACs, to help inform WASCs as they consider project recommendations (**refer to Attachment C**);
- D. Each Municipality shall receive benefits in proportion to the funds generated within their jurisdiction, after accounting for allocation of the one hundred ten percent (110%) return to DACs, to the extent feasible, to be evaluated annually over a rolling five (5) year period (**refer to Attachment D**);
- E. A spectrum of Project types and sizes shall be implemented throughout the region, to the extent feasible, to be evaluated annually over a rolling five (5) year period;
- F. Nature-Based Solutions shall be prioritized, to the extent feasible;
- G. Projects, Feasibility Studies, scientific and technical studies, and other activities selected for inclusion in a SIP should be recommended to receive funding for their total estimated costs, unless a lesser amount has been requested;
- H. Operation and maintenance costs for any Project may be included in the Infrastructure Program portion of a SIP, whether or not the design and construction of that Project was included in a SIP; and
- I. Only Projects that meet or exceed the Threshold Score shall be eligible for inclusion in the Infrastructure Program. Projects that receive a score below the Threshold Score may be referred to the Technical Resources Program at the discretion of the Watershed Area Steering Committee.

Reference: Section 18.07.2 of the Safe, Clean Water Program Implementation Ordinance



**ATTACHMENT C
Criteria for Disadvantaged Communities (DACs)**

Funding for Projects that provide DAC Benefits shall not be less than one hundred and ten percent (110%) of the ratio of the DAC population to the total population in each Watershed Area. To facilitate compliance with this requirement, the District will work with stakeholders and Watershed Coordinator(s) to utilize existing tools to identify high-priority geographies for water-quality improvement projects and other projects that create DAC Benefits within DACs, to help inform WASCs as they consider project recommendations

Watershed Area	DAC Ratio*	Annual Funding Recommended for Projects that Benefit DACs
Central Santa Monica Bay	50%	\$8.3 M
Lower Los Angeles River	68%	\$8.2 M
Lower San Gabriel River	20%	\$3.1 M
North Santa Monica Bay	0%	\$0.0 M
Rio Hondo	35%	\$3.8 M
Santa Clara River	8%	\$0.4 M
South Santa Monica Bay	34%	\$5.9 M
Upper Los Angeles River	50%	\$18.1 M
Upper San Gabriel River	22%	\$3.9 M
Total		\$51.6 M

* These figures are based on the 2016 US Census and will be updated periodically.



ATTACHMENT D
Criteria for Municipalities

Each Municipality shall receive benefits in proportion to the funds generated within their jurisdiction, after accounting for allocation of the one hundred ten percent (110%) return to DACs, to the extent feasible, to be evaluated annually over a rolling five (5) year period

Watershed Area	Municipality	% City Funds Generated within Watershed Area
Lower San Gabriel River	Artesia	1.6%
Lower San Gabriel River	Bellflower	6.1%
Lower San Gabriel River	Cerritos	7.3%
Lower San Gabriel River	Downey	6.2%
Lower San Gabriel River	Hawaiian Gardens	1.0%
Lower San Gabriel River	La Habra Heights	1.3%
Lower San Gabriel River	La Mirada	7.0%
Lower San Gabriel River	Lakewood	8.2%
Lower San Gabriel River	Long Beach	17.5%
Lower San Gabriel River	Norwalk	8.2%
Lower San Gabriel River	Paramount	1.8%
Lower San Gabriel River	Pico Rivera	4.9%
Lower San Gabriel River	Santa Fe Springs	10.9%
Lower San Gabriel River	Signal Hill	1.1%
Lower San Gabriel River	Unincorporated	7.7%
Lower San Gabriel River	Whittier	9.2%



ATTACHMENT E
Infrastructure Program Projects
 (All values have been imported from the SCW Projects Module)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J O						P
									Preliminary Score**						
Map Location	Watershed Area	Project Name	Project Lead(s)	Project Type	BMP Type	DAC Benefit Claimed	Total Funding Requested*	Project Capital Cost	Water Quality	Water Supply	CIB	NBS	Leveraging Funds	TOTAL	Status
18	Lower San Gabriel River	Stormwater Treatment and Reuse System (STAR System) Hacienda Park	City of La Habra Heights	Wet	Biofiltration		\$ 991,954.00	\$ 991,954.00	45	0	10	10	4	69	Deemed Complete
19	Lower San Gabriel River	Bellflower Simms Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Bellflower	Wet	Treatment Facility	Y	\$ 17,200,000.00	\$ 17,204,153.00	50	0	5	12	4	71	Deemed Complete
20	Lower San Gabriel River	Bolivar Park	City of Lakewood	Wet	Infiltration Facility	Y	\$ 1,265,900.00	\$ 11,000,000.00	45	22	5	5	10	87	Deemed Complete
21	Lower San Gabriel River	Caruthers Park	City of Bellflower	Dry	Infiltration Facility	Y	\$ 855,000.00	\$ 12,618,282.00	40	15	5	10	6	76	Deemed Complete
22	Lower San Gabriel River	Cerritos Sports Complex	City of Cerritos	Wet	Infiltration Facility	Y	\$ 45,400,000.00	\$ 45,436,699.00	44	12	5	10	0	71	Deemed Complete
23	Lower San Gabriel River	Hermosillo Park	City of Norwalk	Wet	Infiltration Facility	Y	\$ 20,110,000.00	\$ 20,100,000.00	50	25	5	10	4	94	Deemed Complete
24	Lower San Gabriel River	Mayfair Park	City of Lakewood	Dry	Treatment Facility	Y	\$ 1,341,200.00	\$ 14,400,000.00	40	12	5	15	6	78	Deemed Complete
25	Lower San Gabriel River	Skylinks Golf Course at Wardlow Stormwater Capture Project	City of Long Beach	Wet	Treatment Facility		\$ 10,500,000.00	\$ 10,451,793.00	50	0	5	10	4	69	Deemed Complete
26	Lower San Gabriel River	El Dorado Regional Project	City of Long Beach	Wet	Treatment Facility	Y	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$ 11,827,348.00	50	12	10	10	4	86	Deemed Complete
27	Lower San Gabriel River	Adventure Park Multi Benefit Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County Public Works	Dry	Diversion to Sanitary Sewer		\$ 13,500,000.00	\$ 28,500,000.00	40	5	5	10	6	66	Deemed Complete
TOTAL							\$ 114,164,054.00	\$ 172,530,229.00							10

*More detailed funding requests will be provided at a later date.

**Preliminary score is based on Project Module inputs and subject to change based on evaluation by the Scoring Committee. Refer to the Fesibility Study Guidelines for a description of the Scoring Criteria.

Water Quality: Water Quality Benefits (50 points max)


Water Supply: Significant Water Supply Benefits (25 points max)

CIB: Community Investment Benefit (10 points max)

NBS: Nature-Based Solutions (15 points max)


Leveraging Funds: Leveraging Funds and Community Support (10 points max)

TOTAL: Total Score (110 points max)



SAFE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Regional Program FY 20-21
Lower San Gabriel River





ATTACHMENT F
Technical Resources Program Projects
 (All values have been imported from the SCW Projects Module)

	A	B	C	D	E
	Watershed Area	Project Name	Project Lead(s)	Funding Requested*	Status
TOTAL				\$ -	0

* More detailed funding requests will be provided at a later date.



ATTACHMENT G
Scientific Studies Programs

(All values have been imported from the SCW Projects Module)

	A	B	C	D	E	F
	Watershed Area	Project Name	Project Lead(s)	Watersheds Studied	Total Funding Requested*	Status
1	Lower San Gabriel River	Regional Scientific Study to Support Protection of Human Health through Targeted Reduction of Bacteriological Pollution	Currently under discussion.	CSMB, LLAR, LSGR, NSMB, RH, SCR, SSMB, ULAR, USGR	\$ 9,800,000.00	WASC Consideration
	TOTAL				\$ 9,800,000.00	1

* Total funding requested from all Watershed Areas studied. More detailed funding requests will be provided at a later date.